Potential GDP: Why U.S. growth prospects look stronger now than before COVID-19

By Joe Seydl and Pieter Clerger

As the U.S. economic recovery picks up steam, some observers are wondering what history might tell us about the decade now underway. Might the economy reprise the 1990s growth surge, when labor productivity jumped, helping GDP clip along at around 4% on average? Or is the economy doomed to repeat the sluggish rebound from the 2008 global financial crisis (GFC), or worse, the 1970s, stagflation?

It's too soon to answer that question, but on balance we're more optimistic than the consensus view of economists.

We expect the U.S. economy to bounce back to its pre-COVID-19 growth trend much faster than the rebound from the GFC-as we first said in September 2020-as soon as the first half of 2022. This "quick recovery" view is now more or less the consensus among economists. Where there is less consensus, however, is whether the pre-COVID-19 growth path is still the right benchmark for the economy's growth potential. We're optimistic about this, too.

We think the most likely scenario is that the economy's potential GDP growth coming out of the COVID-19 shock may need to be revised upward. An upward revision would be an unquestionably positive development—one we have not seen since the mid-1990s. Specifically, we think potential GDP growth could run at a rate of around 2.25% in the new cycle, up from current estimates of 1.8%.¹

Why? Underlying this strength, we think, should be a quick growth rate for labor productivity (GDP per hours worked) of 1.75%-2.00%, far higher than the 1.25% rate that prevailed in the last cycle. We discuss here the components of this possible improvement—work

from home, more women in the labor force and automation—that could help boost potential GDP growth higher than in past cycles. It could even create something like a 1990s-style growth surge.

A REBOUND UNLIKE THE SLUGGISH POST-GFC RECOVERY

The recovery from the COVID-19 shock is likely to be a much more rapid bounceback–very different from the sluggish rebound after the 2008 GFC. Then, the U.S. the economy never regained its pre-crisis growth rate. The GFC inflicted permanent damage: Labor force scarring, underinvestment in capital, and prolonged house-hold deleveraging and risk aversion.²



THE ECONOMY NEVER REGAINED ITS PRE-GFC GROWTH Annual real GDP (\$ trillions)

INVESTMENT AND INSURANCE PRODUCTS ARE: • NOT FDIC INSURED • NOT INSURED BY ANY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY • NOT A DEPOSIT OR OTHER OBLIGATION OF, OR GUARANTEED BY, JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. OR ANY OF ITS AFFILIATES • SUBJECT TO INVESTMENT RISKS, INCLUDING POSSIBLE LOSS OF THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT INVESTED

¹ Assumed by the Congressional Budget Office, whose projections tend to be the gold standard when it comes to modelling potential GDP. *The Budget and Economic Outlook: 2020 to 2030*, Congressional Budget Office, February 2021.

²An alternate interpretation, which may hold some truth, is that the pre-GFC GDP path was unsustainable because it was being financed by higher and higher household and bank leverage.

WHAT IS POTENTIAL GDP?

We believe the potential GDP's components may each get a boost in the years ahead. What is potential GDP, and what are its components?

Potential GDP is an estimate of the total capacity of the economy to produce goods and services, consistent with the Federal Reserve's (Fed's) inflation objective (currently 2%). When the actual economy (i.e., aggregate spending) runs hotter than its supply-side capacity (i.e., aggregate production of goods and services), that should put upward pressure on the inflation rate, and vice versa when the economy runs below capacity.³

In simplified terms, potential GDP is a function of two inputs: The total number of hours that can be worked in the economy and how much GDP can be produced per hour of work. The hours metric is influenced by population growth, labor force participation and hours worked each day. GDP per hour is what economists call labor productivity or how much workers can accomplish in a day.

Of these ingredients in potential GDP, population growth is the easiest to project, and it likely won't change much as a result of the pandemic. But there are good reasons to think that labor force participation, the amount of hours worked each day and labor productivity may each get a boost in the years ahead.

TECHNOLOGY IS THE BIG THEME

The COVID-19 shock accelerated technological adoption. Digital work-from-home technologies—indeed, the digital economy as a whole—received unprecedented protection (in an economic sense, from competition) during the pandemic, which in turn had an impact on potential GDP.⁴

To put numbers to it, prior to 2020, the share of hours worked remotely in the U.S. economy was slightly more than 5%. At the pandemic's 2020 peak, about 60% of all worker hours were remote. Nobody knows precisely where this number will settle in the future (survey evidence suggests that about 20% to 25% of work will be done remotely when COVID-19 is over), but it will almost certainly be higher than before the pandemic. It's also likely that this percentage may rise over time, since an estimated 45% of work done in the United States can be feasibly done remotely (and that fraction may rise further over time).⁵



MORE COMMON THAN BEFORE THE PANDEMIC Share of hours worked remotely (U.S.) 70% 7

WORKING FROM HOME IS LIKELY TO REMAIN

Sources: Department of Commerce, Department of Labor, Bloomberg Finance L.P., Bureau of Labor Statistics: Jose Maria Barrero, Nicholas Bloom and Steven J. Davis, "Why Working from Home Will Stick," April 2021.

THE POTENTIAL GDP IMPACTS OF WORK FROM HOME

Labor force participation: We know that labor force participation is a GDP input. What could it mean for GDP if remote work permanently increased the number of women in the workforce?

To be sure, labor force participation for women has improved by more than 30 percentage points (ppt) since the 1960s. However, women still participate by 10ppt less than men during their prime working years (chart at the top of page 3), the same gap as in the late 1990s. Many labor economists attribute this gap to having and caring for children. We believe the normalization of remote work and increased utilization of work-from-home technologies may now enable more women to remain in the labor force.

³ In principle; in practice the relationship is less clear, especially in real time. A conundrum of the last cycle is that the economy was operating above most estimates of potential GDP in 2018 and 2019, yet the inflation rate was unable to sustainably rise above 2%.

⁴ COVID-19 mandatory lockdowns served as a natural form of infant industry protection by a government (which typically offers protection from competition from foreign trade). A notable example is the Japanese automobile sector following World War II. When foreign currency quotas effectively capped imports, Japan's domestic auto sector was able to mature and become so competitive it disrupted the U.S. auto sector in the 1970s and 1980s, beginning the secular demise of cities including Detroit and Kenosha.

⁵ Matthew Dey, Harley Frazis, et al., "Ability to work from home: Evidence from two surveys and implications for the labor market in the COVID-19 pandemic," *Monthly Labor Review*, Bureau of Labor Statistics, June 2020. The data shows younger generations, particularly Millennials and Gen Z, regard work from home more favorably than older generations, a skew likely implying that in the long term, the share of hours worked from home will likely rise beyond the expected 20%-25%.



REMOTE WORK MAY HELP CLOSE THE GENDER GAP Labor force participation rate by age

If women fully close the participation gap versus men, this would add approximately 10 million workers to the pool of available labor supply, a roughly 6% increase. If this pickup in participation played out over 10 years, it would boost potential GDP by roughly 60 basis points (bps) a year. These estimates may be aggressive. If the gap instead closes only halfway, and over 20 years, the boost to potential GDP would still be meaningful–15bps a year.

Hours worked: We think hours worked, another GDP input, stands to gain, too. This metric is all about reduced commute times. Prior to the pandemic, the average U.S. worker spent 54 minutes per day commuting. If we assume the post-pandemic norm becomes 25% of worker hours done remotely (down from the 60% pandemic peak), that works out to roughly 30 million fewer commuting hours per work day.

Survey evidence suggests that about 35% of this time savings during the pandemic has been spent working extra hours.⁶ We calculate that fewer commuting hours may boost potential GDP by 1%, or 10bps a year over the next 10 years.⁷

And it's worth noting that in addition to likely boosting GDP, workers spending 65% fewer hours commuting enjoyed more time with their families, or cooking, exercising, etc. So along with GDP, human welfare stands to benefit, too.

Labor productivity: This input (GDP per hour worked) is the least quantifiable. Nevertheless, consider the data so far: Prior to the pandemic, labor productivity was stuck for years at a meager 1.25%, but since the beginning of 2020 it has stepped up significantly—to nearly 3.5%.



Why the pickup? The most direct explanation is a massive shift away from spending on services toward spending on goods—about a 5ppt shift in each sector's relative share of GDP. Mechanically, more spending on goods increases the economy's productivity growth rate because the level of labor productivity growth in the goods sector (which includes manufacturing and construction) is about 15% to 20% higher than in the services sector.⁸

⁶ Jose Maria Barrero, Nicholas Bloom and Steven J. Davis, "Why Working from Home Will Stick," National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper 28731, April 2021.

² Calculated from: [(30 million fewer commuting hours) x (251 working days per year) x (35%)]/(275 billion hours worked per year). J.P. Morgan Private Bank, June 2021.

⁸The fact that productivity is lower in the services sector is the main reason why overall economic growth slows as countries cross the threshold from emerging market to advanced economy (think China today, where the domestic economy continues to shift away from manufacturing toward services).

THE GOODS SECTOR IS MORE PRODUCTIVE GDP per hours worked



Transformation of real estate and housing are linchpins

Is the shift toward more goods spending and manufacturing sustainable? We think so, because they are functions of greater reliance on work-from-home (WFH) technologies. The WFH trend is also closely tied to the reconfiguration that is unfolding in the U.S. residential housing market.

It is our view that the real estate markets are currently being transformed as a result of increased remote work, and the primary beneficiaries so far have been the suburbs, the South and the Rocky Mountain region (which we wrote about <u>here</u>). Given the current shortage of homes available for sale in these key markets, the implication is that a strong residential construction cycle will likely last years, which bodes well for the manufacturing sector to continue driving growth.

Why has the services sector become more productive?

Manufacturing isn't the whole story. The services sector has also seen a notable jump in productivity since the pandemic began (chart below). What explains this is more speculative. One hypothesis is that workers have been able to get into more of a "flow state" at home with fewer distractions. Another view is that even group work may be enhanced using remote technologies. The idea here is that brainstorming may be better done separately first before coming together in a group setting. In the office, people can be influenced by others before group meetings begin.⁹ Popular media articles have proposed the idea that perhaps service sector workers are simply getting more sleep without their commutes.



CAPEX, PRODUCTIVITY AND ROBOTS

COVID-19 may prompt more corporate investment spending on automation, and this may raise potential GDP.

Through much of the 2010s, the media hyped up the dangers of automation to workers. The slant mirrored a landmark 2013 report from the University of Oxford, whose dramatic finding was that automation put nearly half of U.S. jobs at risk.¹⁰ The trouble was that the corporate capital expenditures (capex) cycle in the 2010s when the report was published was stagnant, especially automation-oriented capex. This is no longer the case.

Further undercutting the Oxford thesis, the unemployment rate fell to a cycle low of 3.5% in 2019. Apparently, robots were not taking away all the jobs. (The media narrative hasn't caught up.) Today, corporate capex appears to be gaining steam, especially automation capex (which we measure using the proxy of information processing equipment and software investment, as in the chart at the top of page 5).

⁹ Sarah Green Carmichael, "What's the Point of the Office Again? The workplace offers the opportunity for social display, not improved productivity," Bloomberg Opinion, May 26, 2021. ¹⁰ Carl Benedikt Frey and Michael Osborne, "The Future of Employment," *Oxford Martin Programme on Technology and Employment Working Paper*, University of Oxford, September 17, 2013.



AUTOMATION CAPEX IS PICKING UP

Automation can bolster labor-intensive industries

The scary robots narrative is further undercut when it's combined with other macro and monetary forces at work today. An important recent Fed survey did find more than half of corporate CFOs surveyed were laser-focused on reducing costs via automation, and planning to "use automation or technology to reduce the reliance on labor...[in part to] solve labor-related challenges caused by the pandemic."¹¹ Yet contrary to the popular media narrative, this is a positive development for the economy and even for the labor market.

After the 2008 financial crisis, the dynamic of stronger wage growth leading to more corporate investment leading to more income and spending in the economy never really got going—which helps explain why productivity growth was so weak. But post-COVID-19, we'll likely see labor costs firming, thanks to the Fed's new emphasis on prioritizing its labor market mandate, as well as continued fiscal support. That should incentivize firms to invest more.

There's no doubt that automation will require labor to make adjustments. A portion of the roughly 10 million jobs the economy is down, relative to the pre-COVID-19 trend, will not come back in the same form due to automation. But this need not lead to permanently higher unemployment in the new cycle, provided labor is reallocated to rapidly growing and labor-intensive sectors such as clean energy installation, elderly care and the non-automatable segments of leisure and tourism. Moreover, the microeconomic evidence shows that for firms investing heavily in automation, the relationship to the total number of jobs is positive.¹²

We are already seeing a spike in new business formation—which is running at a 25% to 30% annualized pace, up from 5% to 10% prior to the pandemic—at a time when there is a glut of workers on the sidelines. This is a healthy development from a reallocation perspective. The flip side is that business bankruptcies, which are still running at a depressed pace, will likely trend higher as the cycle continues and the emergency fiscal measures such as the Paycheck Protection Program are wound down. This should be seen positively as a reflection of increased creative destruction; indeed, they were also high in the high-growth 1990s. Compare that to the sluggish 2010s: Firm bankruptcies (relative to the total number of firms) were about 1ppt lower.



PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER: HIGHER GDP ... AND THE RISKS

We think potential GDP will grow at a sustained rate of around 2.25% in the current cycle, as we said in the beginning, up from current estimates of 1.8%. That may not seem like a big difference, but cumulatively it adds up: Over the next 10 years, our view amounts to nearly \$1.2 trillion in additional GDP, relative to the Congressional Budget Office's potential growth path.

¹¹ "The CFO Survey," Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond/Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta/Duke University Fuqua School of Business, December 2020.

¹² Phillippe Aghion, Celine Antonin, Simon Bunel and Xavier Jaravel. What are the Labor and Product Market Effects of Automation: New Evidence from France, SciencesPo Publications. January 2020.

The main risk to this view is fiscal policy—in particular, whether the United States shifts back to a gridlocked Congress following the 2022 midterm elections (which would likely bring about abrupt fiscal austerity). The labor and housing reallocation processes described earlier will require sizable fiscal support through much of the cycle to mitigate potential scarring impacts on the labor market. Public investments in education and worker retraining will be critical in the years ahead, given the degree of sheer economic change.

Considering climate change as a risk

Climate change will likely continue to impact the economy if infrastructure is not substantially upgraded and weatherized through significant public investments. We don't see investments in public infrastructure to mitigate climate change as catalysts for higher potential GDP growth but rather as necessary simply to maintain the current productivity of the economy and prevent the repeated stalling of economic activity. The winter storm that hit Texas in February is a case in point. Having to stop the economy and rebuild after repeated climate disasters will make for a very unproductive economy, indeed.

IMPACT OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON THE ECONOMY Small business revenue % difference from January 2020 (7-day moving average)



WHAT DOES IT ALL MEAN FOR MARKETS?

Interest rates and fixed income: Over the medium term (three to five years), higher sustained potential GDP growth may give the Fed more room to raise interest rates to a higher neutral rate compared to the last cycle. Specifically, if our view on potential GDP is correct, it's likely at some point in the years ahead the Fed revises up its estimate of the neutral rate in this cycle to about 3%, from the 2.5% longer-term fed funds rate that the Federal Open Market Committee is currently projecting.

Importantly, higher interest rates resulting from stronger potential GDP growth will come in the form of higher real interest rates, not higher inflation. If anything, stronger potential GDP growth will reduce inflationary pressures in the economy, since the backdrop reflects more aggregate supply capacity. To put numbers to it, whereas a real longer-term interest rate of 1% proved too restrictive for the economy in the last cycle (in late 2018), it's possible in this cycle that a 1% real rate may be achieved in equilibrium without significantly harming growth.

With this view, we raise the high estimate of our long term fair-value range for nominal 10-year Treasury yields to 3% (from 2.75%).



A positive for equities: While we'll have to wait years for the theme of higher potential GDP growth to play out in the fixed income markets, the equity markets will take notice much sooner. They may already be moving due to revisions to longer-term expected growth.

The 1990s equity bull market began in 1994. But it wasn't until 1995 to 1996 that equity analysts started to revise upward their longer-term earnings growth expectations. Economists eventually came last: Only in mid-1997 were they seriously entertaining the idea that economy-wide productivity was increasing.¹³

THE EQUITY MARKET ROSE BEFORE ANALYSTS' GROWTH EXPECTATIONS



Today's equity market may well be comparable to 1994 to 1995. The market is not likely to triple over the next five years as it did back then, but today's high valuations, on a forward-earnings basis, might not be as high as they seem if one incorporates stronger potential growth on the other side of COVID-19.

Indeed, in examining the relationship between S&P 500 earnings versus nominal GDP and real unit labor costs, we find that the revision in potential GDP from 1.8% to 2.25% would lift trend earnings by about 12%, cumulatively, over the next five years. This implies that the five-year-ahead price-to-earnings ratio for the S&P 500 may be around 14x–not the 15.7x of current trend earnings assumptions.

The bottom line: Our higher potential GDP view, and the 1994 to 1995 comparison, reinforces our preference to overweight equities and underweight fixed income. It implies we'll likely maintain this preference for longer than we otherwise would, in the aftermath of the COVID-19 downturn. And in our equity investment portfolios, we continue to have a preference for technology (semiconductors and software) and industrials (machinery), which stand to benefit the most from a strong corporate capex cycle, particularly with respect to automation.

¹³ Richard G. Anderson and Kevin L. Kliesen, "The 1990s Acceleration in Labor Productivity: Causes and Measurement," *Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Economic Research Volume 88,* No. 3, May/June 2006.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

J.P. Morgan is committed to making our products and services accessible to meet the financial services needs of all our clients. If you are a person with a disability and need additional support, please contact your J.P. Morgan team or email us at accessibility.support@jpmorgan.com for assistance.

General Risks & Considerations

Any views, strategies or products discussed in this material may not be appropriate for all individuals and are subject to risks. **Investors may get back less than they invested, and past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results**. Asset allocation does not guarantee a profit or protect against loss. Nothing in this material should be relied upon in isolation for the purpose of making an investment decision. You are urged to consider carefully whether the services, products, asset classes (e.g., equities, fixed income, alternative investments, commodities, etc.) or strategies discussed are suitable to your needs. You must also consider the objectives, risks, charges, and expenses associated with an investment service, product or strategy prior to making an investment decision. For this and more complete information, including discussion of your goals/situation, contact your J.P. Morgan team.

Non-Reliance

Certain information contained in this material is believed to be reliable; however, JPM does not represent or warrant its accuracy, reliability or completeness, or accept any liability for any loss or damage (whether direct or indirect) arising out of the use of all or any part of this material. No representation or warranty should be made with regard to any computations, graphs, tables, diagrams or commentary in this material, which are provided for illustration/reference purposes only. The views, opinions, estimates and strategies expressed in this material constitute our judgment based on current market conditions and are subject to change without notice. JPM assumes no duty to update any information in this material in the event that such information changes. Views, opinions, estimates and strategies expressed for other purposes or in other contexts, and **this material should not be regarded as a research report**. Any projected results and risks are based solely on hypothetical examples cited, and actual results and risks will vary depending on specific circumstances. Forward-looking statements should not be considered as guarantees or predictions of future events.

Nothing in this document shall be construed as giving rise to any duty of care owed to, or advisory relationship with, you or any third party. Nothing in this document shall be regarded as an offer, solicitation, recommendation or advice (whether financial, accounting, legal, tax or other) given by J.P. Morgan and/or its officers or employees, irrespective of whether or not such communication was given at your request.

J.P. Morgan and its affiliates and employees do not provide tax, legal or accounting advice. You should consult your own tax, legal and accounting advisors before engaging in any financial transactions.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR INVESTMENTS AND POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Conflicts of interest will arise whenever JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. or any of its affiliates (together, "J.P. Morgan") have an actual or perceived economic or other incentive in its management of our clients' portfolios to act in a way that benefits J.P. Morgan. Conflicts will result, for example (to the extent the following activities are permitted in your account): (1) when J.P. Morgan invests in an investment product, such as a mutual fund, structured product, separately managed account or hedge fund issued or managed by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. or an affiliate, such as J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc.; (2) when a J.P. Morgan entity obtains services, including trade execution and trade clearing, from an affiliate; (3) when J.P. Morgan receives payment as a result of purchasing an investment product for a client's account; or (4) when J.P. Morgan receives payment for providing services (including shareholder servicing, recordkeeping or custody) with respect to investment products purchased for a client's portfolio. Other conflicts will result because of relationships that J.P. Morgan has with other clients or when J.P. Morgan acts for its own account.

Investment strategies are selected from both J.P. Morgan and third-party asset managers and are subject to a review process by our manager research teams. From this pool of strategies, our portfolio construction teams select those strategies we believe fit our asset allocation goals and forward-looking views in order to meet the portfolio's investment objective.

As a general matter, we prefer J.P. Morgan managed strategies. We expect the proportion of J.P. Morgan managed strategies will be high (in fact, up to 100 percent) in strategies such as cash and high-quality fixed income, subject to applicable law and any account-specific considerations.

While our internally managed strategies generally align well with our forwardlooking views, and we are familiar with the investment processes as well as the risk and compliance philosophy of the firm, it is important to note that J.P. Morgan receives more overall fees when internally managed strategies are included. We offer the option of choosing to exclude J.P. Morgan managed strategies (other than cash and liquidity products) in certain portfolios.

The Six Circles Funds are U.S.-registered mutual funds managed by J.P. Morgan and sub-advised by third parties. Although considered internally managed strategies, JPMC does not retain a fee for fund management or other fund services.

Legal Entity, Brand & Regulatory Information

In the **United States,** bank deposit accounts and related services, such as checking, savings and bank lending, are offered by **JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.** Member FDIC.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and its affiliates (collectively "JPMCB") offer investment products, which may include bank-managed investment accounts and custody, as part of its trust and fiduciary services. Other investment products and services, such as brokerage and advisory accounts, are offered through J.P. Morgan Securities LLC ("JPMS"), a member of FINRA and SIPC. Annuities are made available through Chase Insurance Agency, Inc. (CIA), a licensed insurance agency, doing business as Chase under the common control of JPMorgan Chase & Co. Products not available in all states.

In Luxembourg, this material is issued by J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. (JPMBL), with registered office at European Bank and Business Centre, 6 route de Treves, L-2633, Senningerberg, Luxembourg. R.C.S Luxembourg B10.958. Authorized and regulated by Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (CSSF) and jointly supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB) and the CSSF. J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. is authorized as a credit institution in accordance with the Law of 5th April 1993. In the United Kingdom, this material is issued by J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., London Branch, registered office at 25 Bank Street, Canary Wharf, London E14 5JP. Authorized and regulated by Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier and jointly supervised by the European Central Bank and the CSSF. Deemed authorized by the Prudential Regulation Authority. Subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. Details of the Temporary Permissions Regime, which allows EEA-based firms to operate in the United Kingdom for a limited period while seeking full authorization, are available on the Financial Conduct Authority's website. In Spain, this material is distributed by J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Sucursal en España, with registered office at Paseo de la Castellana, 31, 28046 Madrid, Spain. J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Sucursal en España is registered under number 1516 within the administrative registry of the Bank of Spain and supervised by the Spanish Securities Market Commission (CNMV). In Germany, this material is distributed by J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Frankfurt Branch, registered office at Taunustor 1 (TaunusTurm), 60310 Frankfurt, Germany, jointly supervised by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (CSSF) and the European Central Bank (ECB), and in certain areas also supervised by the Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (BaFin). In Italy, this material is distributed by J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Milan Branch, registered office at Via Cordusio 3, 20123 Milano, Italy, and regulated by Bank of Italy and the Commissione Nazionale per le Società e la Borsa (CONSOB). In the Netherlands, this material is distributed by J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Amsterdam Branch, with registered office at World Trade Centre, Tower B, Strawinskylaan 1135, 1077 XX, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Amsterdam Branch is authorized and regulated by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (CSSF) and jointly supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB) and the CSSF in Luxembourg; J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Amsterdam Branch is also authorized and supervised by De Nederlandsche Bank (DNB) and the Autoriteit Financiële Markten (AFM) in the Netherlands. Registered with the Kamer van Koophandel as a branch of J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. under registration number 71651845. In Denmark, this material is distributed by J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg, Copenhagen Br, filial af J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. with registered office at Kalvebod Brygge 39-41, 1560 København V, Denmark. J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg, Copenhagen Br, filial af J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. is authorized and regulated by Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (CSSF) and jointly supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB) and the CSSF. J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg, Copenhagen Br, filial af J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. is also subject to the supervision of Finanstilsvnet (Danish FSA) and registered with Finanstilsynet as a branch of J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. under code 29009. In Sweden, this material is distributed by J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Stockholm Bankfilial, with registered office at Hamngatan 15, Stockholm, 11147, Sweden. J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Stockholm Bankfilial, is authorized and regulated by Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (CSSF) and jointly supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB) and the CSSF. J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Stockholm Bankfilial is also subject to the supervision of Finansinspektionen (Swedish FSA). Registered with Finansinspektionen as a branch of J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. In France, this material is distributed by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("JPMCB"), Paris branch, which is regulated by the French banking authorities Autorité de Contrôle Prudentiel et de Résolution and Autorité des Marchés Financiers. In Switzerland, this material is distributed by J.P. Morgan (Suisse) S.A., which is regulated in Switzerland by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA).

In Hong Kong, this material is distributed by JPMCB, Hong Kong branch. JPMCB, Hong Kong branch is regulated by the Hong Kong Monetary Authority and the Securities and Futures Commission of Hong Kong. In Hong Kong, we will cease to use your personal data for our marketing purposes without charge if you so request. In Singapore, this material is distributed by JPMCB, Singapore branch. JPMCB, Singapore branch is regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. Dealing and advisory services and discretionary investment management services are provided to you by JPMCB, Hong Kong/Singapore branch (as notified to you). Banking and custody services are provided to you by JPMCB Singapore Branch. The contents of this document have not been reviewed by any regulatory authority in Hong Kong, Singapore or any other jurisdictions. You are advised to exercise caution in relation to this document. If you are in any doubt about any of the contents of this document, you should obtain independent professional advice. For materials which constitute product advertisement under the Securities and Futures Act and the Financial Advisers Act, this advertisement has not been reviewed by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is a national banking association chartered under the laws of the United States, and as a body corporate, its shareholder's liability is limited.

With respect to countries in **Latin America**, the distribution of this material may be restricted in certain jurisdictions. We may offer and/or sell to you securities or other financial instruments which may not be registered under, and are not the subject of a public offering under, the securities or other financial regulatory laws of your home country. Such securities or instruments are offered and/or sold to you on a private basis only. Any communication by us to you regarding such securities or instruments, including without limitation the delivery of a prospectus, term sheet or other offering document, is not intended by us as an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities or instruments in any jurisdiction in which such an offer or a solicitation

is unlawful. Furthermore, such securities or instruments may be subject to certain regulatory and/or contractual restrictions on subsequent transfer by you, and you are solely responsible for ascertaining and complying with such restrictions. To the extent this content makes reference to a fund, the Fund may not be publicly offered in any Latin American country, without previous registration of such fund's securities in compliance with the laws of the corresponding jurisdiction. Public offering of any security, including the shares of the Fund, without previous registration at Brazilian Securities and Exchange Commission–CVM is completely prohibited. Some products or services contained in the materials might not be currently provided by the Brazilian and Mexican platforms.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (JPMCBNA) (ABN 43 074 112 011/AFS Licence No: 238367) is regulated by the Australian Securities and Investment Commission and the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority. Material provided by JPMCBNA in Australia is to "wholesale clients" only. For the purposes of this paragraph the term "wholesale client" has the meaning given in section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth). Please inform us if you are not a Wholesale Client now or if you cease to be a Wholesale Client at any time in the future.

JPMS is a registered foreign company (overseas) (ARBN 109293610) incorporated in Delaware, U.S.A. Under Australian financial services licensing requirements, carrying on a financial services business in Australia requires a financial service provider, such as J.P. Morgan Securities LLC (JPMS), to hold an Australian Financial Services Licence (AFSL), unless an exemption applies. JPMS is exempt from the requirement to hold an AFSL under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) (Act) in respect of financial services it provides to you, and is regulated by the SEC, FINRA and CFTC under U.S. laws, which differ from Australian laws. Material provided by JPMS in Australia is to "wholesale clients" only. The information provided in this material is not intended to be, and must not be, distributed or passed on, directly or indirectly, to any other class of persons in Australia. For the purposes of this paragraph the term "wholesale client" has the meaning given in section 761G of the Act. Please inform us immediately if you are not a Wholesale Client now or if you cease to be a Wholesale Client at any time in the future.

This material has not been prepared specifically for Australian investors. It:

- May contain references to dollar amounts which are not Australian dollars;
- May contain financial information which is not prepared in accordance with Australian law or practices;
- May not address risks associated with investment in foreign currency denominated investments; and
- Does not address Australian tax issues.

References to "J.P. Morgan" are to JPM, its subsidiaries and affiliates worldwide.

"J.P. Morgan Private Bank" is the brand name for the private banking business conducted by JPM.

This material is intended for your personal use and should not be circulated to or used by any other person, or duplicated for non-personal use, without our permission. If you have any questions or no longer wish to receive these communications, please contact your J.P. Morgan team.