



PUBLIC EQUITIES: DIVIDENDS, CYBERSECURITY and FINTECH

[1] Equity dividends: like it or not, dividends are a critical component of yield based investing

For investors seeking yield, the landscape is as barren as ever. Our Strategic Investment Advisory Group published a paper on this last fall, exploring the likelihood of continued financial repression and its implications for investors. Given prevailing rate trends, investors are increasingly reliant on equities for return and income. Even though equity dividend yields are close to their lowest levels in many years (the S&P 500 dividend yield is just 1.3%), around two-thirds of the income in 60:40 portfolios are now derived from equities, with dividend opportunities even more attractive outside the US. Note that dividend measures for equities do not incorporate gross buybacks, which in the US and Europe can contribute meaningfully to returns.

Equity income in 60:40 portfolios


Dividends as % of total portfolio income



Source: Bloomberg, Datastream, JPMAM. Nov 2021. Based on MSCI ACWI / S&P 500 dividend yield and yield to maturity of Barclays Global / US Agg.

Equity index yields

% yield




Source: Bloomberg, Datastream, JPMAM. September 2021.

The table shows US, European, Japanese and Emerging equity market sectors with the highest dividend yields. The color scheme indicates each sector's volatility over the last 3 years, with red representing the most volatile sector and blue the lowest. Some high dividend yields exist in oil & gas where price volatility can quickly overwhelm a dividend oriented strategy. Yield oriented investors in volatile sectors need to be prepared for long holding periods through difficult times.

Dividend yield by industry group

Industry group	US	EUR	JPN	EM	Average
Mortgage REITs	10.00%	na	na	na	10.00%
Tobacco	6.45%	6.18%	6.05%	na	6.23%
Marine	na	1.48%	9.12%	na	5.30%
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels	3.78%	4.86%	4.38%	4.95%	4.49%
Gas Utilities	2.82%	5.34%	3.02%	5.34%	4.13%
Diversified Telecommunication	4.22%	4.35%	3.52%	4.38%	4.12%
Construction & Engineering	na	4.17%	3.62%	4.19%	3.99%
Insurance	1.65%	4.31%	4.35%	4.08%	3.60%
Electric Utilities	3.14%	3.88%	2.94%	4.03%	3.50%
Banks	2.44%	3.18%	4.34%	2.93%	3.22%
Multi-Utilities	3.02%	3.36%	na	3.13%	3.17%
Telecommunication Services	0.00%	5.71%	3.49%	na	3.07%
Equity Real Estate Investment	2.70%	2.58%	3.49%	2.33%	2.78%
Water Utilities	1.66%	3.66%	na	na	2.66%
Metals & Mining	1.95%	4.11%	3.32%	1.20%	2.64%
Paper & Forest Products	na	2.47%	2.51%	2.88%	2.62%
Capital Markets	1.53%	2.10%	4.30%	1.92%	2.46%
Containers & Packaging	2.16%	2.40%	na	2.40%	2.32%
Construction Materials	0.63%	3.35%	na	2.89%	2.29%
Chemicals	1.87%	2.28%	2.46%	2.48%	2.27%
Energy Equipment & Services	1.81%	2.48%	na	2.48%	2.25%
Pharmaceuticals	1.87%	2.46%	2.15%	2.43%	2.23%
Media	1.02%	2.17%	1.43%	4.17%	2.20%
RE Management & Development	0.00%	2.47%	2.74%	3.50%	2.18%
Household Durables	1.42%	3.69%	1.91%	1.55%	2.14%



Source: Bloomberg. December 29, 2021.




For investors in US equity markets, dividends have not been a critical part of total return. For the 10 year period ending 12/31/2020, US large cap stocks had an annualized total return close to 14%; only 2.1% of this return came from dividends. However, this same was not the case in international equity markets. Investors in portfolios linked to the MSCI EAFE Index (Europe, Australasia, Far East) earned annualized total returns of just 6%, with 3.3% coming from dividends (more than half of the return). Dividends contributed an even larger share of returns in emerging markets (dividend return of 2.84% out of an annualized return of just 4%).

While there is plenty of dispersion in dividend contributions to total returns by region, longer time frames show more similarity. Since 1929, dividends have contributed 38% of total returns in the US. Data doesn't go back as far for non-US markets; developed international equity market data begins in 1986. Over this time period, dividends contributed 33% of total returns, and since 2001, Emerging Market dividends have contributed 29% to total returns. In other words, over the long run, dividend contributions to returns are more similar by region.

While implied dividend yields might seem low compared to history, we believe that MSCI World dividend yields will exceed nominal and real yields on US gov't bonds. That has been the case since the Global Financial Crisis, a trend we expect to continue. Payout ratios appear sustainable, and companies have plenty of cash on their balance sheets.


MSCI World dividend yield vs US 10Y bond yield



Source: Factset, Bloomberg, JPMAM. November 2021.

Dividend payout ratios


Dividend share of net income



Source: Factset, JPMAM. November 2021.

Corporate sector cash balances

Cash / total assets ratio



Source: Factset, JPMAM. November 2021.



[2] Cybersecurity investing: innovation and evil collide, providing opportunity for investors

Cybercrime is a depressing and nihilistic manifestation of human nature and a reminder that progress does not come without associated costs. Almost every month we read about another significant data breach and in December 2021, the cybersecurity community discovered the Log4Shell vulnerability⁴, described by some as the single largest and most critical vulnerability to date.

- **February 2021:** A breach at a third-party cloud provider allowed hackers access to Kroger's Human Resources data and pharmacy records, resulting in ~1.5 mm records breached (names, phone numbers, addresses, dates of birth, Social Security numbers, prescriptions and health insurance information)
- **May 2021:** Colonial Pipeline carries 45% of East Coast petroleum, diesel and jet fuel and was compromised by hackers who stole 100 gigabytes of data, threatening to release it unless a ransom was paid. Gas prices rose and shortages took place until Colonial Pipeline paid a ransom in Bitcoin
- **July 2021:** The US, EU, UK, and NATO jointly attributed a Microsoft Exchange Server breach to affiliates of the Chinese government's Ministry of State Security. In response, US intelligence agencies released a cybersecurity advisory to disclose additional vulnerabilities stemming from China-affiliated attackers
- **August 2021:** T-Mobile disclosed a breach exposing personal information that affected over 40 million customers; the attacker identified an internet-facing router in a corporate data center and offered the data for sale in a criminal forum

The cybersecurity industry has room to grow if the latest projections of cybercrime, online migration, corporate/government spending and current vulnerabilities are correct⁵:

- Annual cybercrime costs are expected to grow from \$6 trillion today to \$10.5 trillion by 2025
- Global cybersecurity workforce needs to grow 89% to effectively defend critical corporate assets
- 44% of workloads are expected to be on the cloud by the end of 2021, and 55% by 2022
- 82% of organizations claim traditional security tools don't work or have limited functionality
- 77% of remote employees use unmanaged devices
- 85% increase in phishing attacks targeting remote enterprise users⁶

Unsurprisingly, cybersecurity spending is expected to grow from \$140 billion in 2021 to \$180 billion by 2024, including \$2 billion in the 2021 COVID-19 relief bill and another \$2 billion in the infrastructure bill. **Nature abhors a vacuum, so a growing number of companies are trying to address the vast array of cyber risks facing an increasingly online world.** Some notable capital raised in 2021:

- **July 2021:** Riskified achieved a \$3.3 billion valuation through its IPO. Its products are part of the "chargeback guarantee category" of fraud prevention, referring to vendors that accept liability for disputed transactions
- **September 2021:** Snyk, a development operations security platform, raised \$605 million in a round that carried a valuation of \$8.6 billion; ForgeRock (access management) achieved a \$2 billion valuation via IPO
- **November 2021:** Cloudflare and CrowdStrike, the two leading cloud based cybersecurity companies which went public in 2019, now have a combined market cap of over \$125 billion
- **Other 2020 / 2021 unicorns:** SentinelOne, Verdaka, Arctic Wolf, Cato Networks, BigID, Armis Security, Coalition, Wiz, OwnBackup, Axonius, Ssecure, Orca Security, Lacework and Aqua Security

⁴ **Log4j** is an open source logging framework that reportedly allow requests to servers without checking responses, allowing attackers to execute Java code and/or leak sensitive information

⁵ **Sources:** Cybersecurity Ventures; ISC2 Cybersecurity Workforce Study; American Rescue Plan; Wedbush Securities; Cybersecurity Insiders' Cloud Security Report; Pitchbook Security Report; Warburg Pincus


⁶ I am sorry to report that **I failed a firmwide phishing test** this year (for the first time) when I clicked on an attachment from an email I thought was from DHL to reschedule a delivery.



When did cybercrime start to rise? The first chart shows a proxy for cybersecurity risks drawn from company disclosures. Cyber risks existed before the financial crisis but were generally small; they started to accelerate in 2011. The second chart shows actual global cybersecurity spending, with estimates to 2024. Part of the reason for the rapid rise: **lower risks of being a cybercriminal vs risks associated with other criminal enterprises.**

Cybersecurity risk proxy


Cybersecurity risk measure derived from company risk disclosures



Source: "Cybersecurity Risk", Florackis et al., Chicago Booth/Swiss Finance Institute. December 2020.

Global security spend estimates

US\$, billions



Source: Warburg Pincus, CS, Gartner. May 2021. Dotted bars = estimates.

Here's a snapshot of the cybersecurity ecosystem. There's a broad range of cybersecurity vulnerabilities and companies aimed at addressing them. The largest cyber companies are often not as good at innovation as smaller ones, creating ample opportunities for consolidation. For context, cybersecurity venture capital funding is now on par with robotics, another "future shock" industry.


Information security ecosystem

Security operations	Network security	Data security	Application security	Endpoint security	Identity & access management
Managed security services	Cloud security	Database monitoring & loss prevention	Web application protection	Endpoint protection, detection & response	Access management
Log ingestion & security information and event management	Network detection & response	Data privacy & compliance	Cloud workload protection platforms	Internet of things & operational technology security	Fraud prevention
Vulnerability assessment & management	Secure networking	Data protection & encryption	DevOps security platforms	Anti-phishing platforms	Identity governance & administration
Security orchestration, automation & response			Web3 security		

Source: PitchBook, JPMAM. 2021.

2020 venture capital funding by sector


US\$, billions



Source: Crunchbase. 2021.

Market capitalization of public cybersecurity companies

US\$, billions




Source: Ten Eleven Ventures. Q2 2021.



COVID accelerated the transition to a more digitized world, which increases vulnerability. McKinsey found that corporate respondents were three times more likely than before COVID to conduct at least 80% of their customer interactions online⁷. Their product and service offerings were also digitized at twice the rate that they were before COVID.


Another major cybersecurity growth sector: energy. Electrification of transportation, industrial energy use and commercial/residential winter heating offers the potential for decarbonization if more wind, solar, hydro and nuclear are added to the grid. But it raises the stakes even further regarding the security of the electricity grid, since even temporary disruptions would cause even greater economic and physical distress.

The COVID impact: rising share of customer interactions, products and services that are digital, %



Source: McKinsey. October 2020.

Distribution of cybersecurity total returns since Jan 2020
Number of companies in NASDAQ Cybersecurity Index (n=42)




Source: Bloomberg. December 29, 2021.

Public cybersecurity indexes have performed well since 2017, either in line with or exceeding the NASDAQ. As shown below (right), there has also been a growing number of cybersecurity firm exits by VC firms as the industry matures. However, as is typically the case with an emerging industry, dispersion across individual cybersecurity company returns is quite high (some big winners and losers). That is illustrated above: average returns are much higher than median returns.

Cybersecurity performance


Total return index (100 = Dec 2016)



Source: Bloomberg, JPMAM. December 29, 2021.

Information security VC exits by type

US\$, billions



Source: Pitchbook. Q3 2021. Includes exits in North America and Europe.

⁷ "How COVID-19 has pushed companies over the technology tipping point and transformed business forever", McKinsey, October 2020




[3] F is for Fintech...and also for fear, fraud and foreclosure

There have been attractive opportunities in Fintech given greater reliance by businesses and households on internet based solutions and digital interfaces. In our wealth management business, we designed investment products that focus on both public and private Fintech companies. Fintech valuations are often higher than traditional banks despite having similar profitability. Fintech is a cycle-sensitive industry given its laxer underwriting standards; in an expansion with low household default rates and foreclosure/eviction moratoria (fourth chart below), Fintech *should* outperform traditional banks since they take more risk.

That said, the Fintech industry often relies more on regulatory arbitrage than on providing lower costs or greater speed of access⁸. How long will regulatory arbitrages exist? US regulators are often reluctant to infringe on sectors it sees as delivering innovation, but there are indicators emerging that Fintech does not always function the way it's expected to. **On the following pages, we cite three examples from the COVID period:** the disappearance of Fintech lenders during early stages of the pandemic; the very high estimated incidence of Fintech borrower fraud on PPP loans; and evidence that Fintech lending resulted in more poorly underwritten loans with greater risk of default. **This evidence from COVID builds upon prior research showing greater systemic risks from Fintech lending (see footnote on p.23).** We're watching to see what impact this might have on regulatory oversight of Fintech companies, and how their costs and business models evolve.

US fintech vs bank performance


Total return index (100 = Dec 2012)



Source: Bloomberg, JPMAM. December 28, 2021.

US fintech vs bank valuations


Price to book ratio



Source: Bloomberg. December 29, 2021.


US fintech vs bank profitability

Return on equity, next 12 months



Source: Bloomberg. December 29, 2021.

US 90+ days loan delinquency transition rates



Source: S&P Dow Jones Indices, Experian. November 2021.

⁸ "Fintech, Regulatory Arbitrage and the Rise of Shadow Banks", Buchak (University of Chicago) et al, May 2018. This study found that around 60% of the increase in Fintech's share of mortgage lending can be attributed to regulatory arbitrage, and only 30% to improved technology and speed of access.



Strike One: High rate of probably fraudulent Fintech PPP loans


Fintech lenders started out with small PPP loan volumes, but eventually ramped up their market share to over 70% of PPP loans by April 2021. A big data analysis was applied to 10 million PPP loans, applying 4 primary indicators of potential fraud and 5 secondary fraud indicators. Primary fraud indicators include: non-registered businesses, multiple businesses at a single residential address, abnormally high implied compensation per employee, and large inconsistencies in jobs reported on PPP loans compared with information supplied to another government program. Secondary fraud indicators include a comparison of PPP loans within a given industry-county, and the number of establishments that actually exist within that industry-county.

Results:

- Fintech PPP loans were 4.7 times as likely to have a primary fraud indicator that is confirmed by an additional primary or secondary fraud indicator than traditional bank loans
- Nine of the ten lenders with the highest rates of suspicious loans in the analysis are Fintech lenders
- When grouped by industry and county, 35% of Fintech loans exceeded the number of companies that *actually exist* in that industry and county; 28% of Fintech loans exceeded industry-county establishment counts by a factor of more than two (!!)
- Some Fintech lenders had very high concentrations of questionable loans, with 45% subject to one or more of the fraud indicators
- Fintech PPP borrowers were 3.5 times more likely to have a felony record
- Few of these questionable Fintech PPP loans were detected by the Federal gov't or repaid
- Fintech lenders with the highest share of questionable loans in 2020 increased their market share in 2021. In the early stages of the PPP, about 10% of Fintech loans were potentially fraudulent; the percentage of suspicious Fintech loans increased to more than 40% by the end of round 3

Potentially fraudulent loans by lender type

% flagged loans with at least one primary indicator



Source: "Did Fintech Lenders Facilitate PPP Fraud?", Griffin, Kruger and Mahajan (UT Austin). August 2021.




Strike Two: A collapse in US Fintech small business lending during COVID

Fintech small business lending collapsed during the early stages of the COVID recession while traditional banks kept on lending to US companies and households. Fintech lending did not revive until the PPP program took place, raising questions about Fintech lending during a recession in the absence of massive government support. Some facts and figures:

- Fintech lending to small business declined by 75% from Q4 2019 to Q2 2020. Out of 16 Fintech lenders that originated small business loans before COVID, only six were still originating loans in Q3 2020
- There's no evidence of an equivalent collapse in bank loans to small businesses during the same period (C&I loans increased by \$482 billion between March 11 and April 1)
- A collapse in Fintech lending to small businesses was a major factor reducing active small businesses by 22% from Feb 2020 to April 2020. The decline in Fintech lending took place despite the average Fintech loan applicant being of higher credit quality compared to the Fintech loan book from 2019
- The largest single factor explaining this result: financial constraints facing Fintech lenders


Active fintech lenders

of lenders



Source: "Why Did Small Business Fintech Lending Dry Up?", Ben-David (Ohio) et al. August 2021.

Delinquency rates for Chinese bank loans and fintech loans before and after the pandemic, Percent



Source: "Shadow Banking in a Crisis", Bao and Huang (Monash). Nov 2020.

Strike Three: Evidence from China on higher default rates for Fintech loans vs bank loans during COVID

In the US, PPP loans and other policy measures helped borrowers avoid default. As a result, there's not much empirical evidence regarding risk of Fintech loans vs bank loans during COVID. However, in China there were fewer protections for borrowers, so we can compare loans more readily. As shown above (right), the COVID shock resulted in a surge in Fintech loan delinquency rates while bank loan delinquency rates remained roughly constant. The gap is not explained by first time Fintech borrowers; many borrowers had both Fintech and bank loans, and had a higher propensity of defaulting on Fintech loans. This data is from China, but given what we know about US fintech lending before COVID⁹, I'm inclined to see these results as representative of what one might expect from US Fintech loans during a recession in the absence of government support.

⁹ Pre-COVID research on Fintech systemic risks:

- Consumers turning to Fintech lenders are more likely to spend beyond their means, sink further into debt and default more often than people with similar credit profiles borrowing from traditional banks. Source: DiMaggio (HBS) and Yao (Georgia State University), 2020.
- An analysis of the LendingClub platform found that borrower misinformation does not negatively impact underwriting decisions; and that incomplete income verification by the platform on loan applications negatively affects recovery rates. Source: "Fintech platforms: Lax or careful borrowers' screening", Serena Gallo (University of Campania), July 2021.

**IMPORTANT INFORMATION**

This report uses rigorous security protocols for selected data sourced from Chase credit and debit card transactions to ensure all information is kept confidential and secure. All selected data is highly aggregated and all unique identifiable information, including names, account numbers, addresses, dates of birth, and Social Security Numbers, is removed from the data before the report's author receives it. The data in this report is not representative of Chase's overall credit and debit cardholder population.

The views, opinions and estimates expressed herein constitute Michael Cembalest's judgment based on current market conditions and are subject to change without notice. Information herein may differ from those expressed by other areas of J.P. Morgan. This information in no way constitutes J.P. Morgan Research and should not be treated as such.

The views contained herein are not to be taken as advice or a recommendation to buy or sell any investment in any jurisdiction, nor is it a commitment from J.P. Morgan or any of its subsidiaries to participate in any of the transactions mentioned herein. Any forecasts, figures, opinions or investment techniques and strategies set out are for information purposes only, based on certain assumptions and current market conditions and are subject to change without prior notice. All information presented herein is considered to be accurate at the time of production. This material does not contain sufficient information to support an investment decision and it should not be relied upon by you in evaluating the merits of investing in any securities or products. In addition, users should make an independent assessment of the legal, regulatory, tax, credit and accounting implications and determine, together with their own professional advisers, if any investment mentioned herein is believed to be suitable to their personal goals. Investors should ensure that they obtain all available relevant information before making any investment. It should be noted that investment involves risks, the value of investments and the income from them may fluctuate in accordance with market conditions and taxation agreements and investors may not get back the full amount invested. Both past performance and yields are not reliable indicators of current and future results.

Non-affiliated entities mentioned are for informational purposes only and should not be construed as an endorsement or sponsorship of J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. or its affiliates.

For J.P. Morgan Asset Management Clients:

J.P. Morgan Asset Management is the brand for the asset management business of JPMorgan Chase & Co. and its affiliates worldwide.

To the extent permitted by applicable law, we may record telephone calls and monitor electronic communications to comply with our legal and regulatory obligations and internal policies. Personal data will be collected, stored and processed by J.P. Morgan Asset Management in accordance with our privacy policies at <https://am.jpmorgan.com/global/privacy>.

ACCESSIBILITY

For U.S. only: If you are a person with a disability and need additional support in viewing the material, please call us at 1-800-343-1113 for assistance.

This communication is issued by the following entities:

In the United States, by J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc. or J.P. Morgan Alternative Asset Management, Inc., both regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission; in Latin America, for intended recipients' use only, by local J.P. Morgan entities, as the case may be.; in Canada, for institutional clients' use only, by JPMorgan Asset Management (Canada) Inc., which is a registered Portfolio Manager and Exempt Market Dealer in all Canadian provinces and territories except the Yukon and is also registered as an Investment Fund Manager in British Columbia, Ontario, Quebec and Newfoundland and Labrador. In the United Kingdom, by JPMorgan Asset Management (UK) Limited, which is authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority; in other European jurisdictions, by JPMorgan Asset Management (Europe) S.à r.l. In Asia Pacific ("APAC"), by the following issuing entities and in the respective jurisdictions in which they are primarily regulated: JPMorgan Asset Management (Asia Pacific) Limited, or JPMorgan Funds (Asia) Limited, or JPMorgan Asset Management Real Assets (Asia) Limited, each of which is regulated by the Securities and Futures Commission of Hong Kong; JPMorgan Asset Management (Singapore) Limited (Co. Reg. No. 197601586K), which this advertisement or publication has not been reviewed by the Monetary Authority of Singapore; JPMorgan Asset Management (Taiwan) Limited; JPMorgan Asset Management (Japan) Limited, which is a member of the Investment Trusts Association, Japan, the Japan Investment Advisers Association, Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association and the Japan Securities Dealers Association and is regulated by the Financial Services Agency (registration number "Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Financial Instruments Firm) No. 330"); in Australia, to wholesale clients only as defined in section 761A and 761G of the Corporations Act 2001 (Commonwealth), by JPMorgan Asset Management (Australia) Limited (ABN 55143832080) (AFSL 376919). For all other markets in APAC, to intended recipients only.

For J.P. Morgan Private Bank Clients:**ACCESSIBILITY**

J.P. Morgan is committed to making our products and services accessible to meet the financial services needs of all our clients. Please direct any accessibility issues to the Private Bank Client Service Center at 1-866-265-1727.

LEGAL ENTITY, BRAND & REGULATORY INFORMATION

In the **United States**, bank deposit accounts and related services, such as checking, savings and bank lending, are offered by **JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.** Member FDIC.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and its affiliates (collectively "**JPMCB**") offer investment products, which may include bank-managed investment accounts and custody, as part of its trust and fiduciary services. Other investment products and services, such as brokerage and advisory accounts, are offered through **J.P. Morgan Securities LLC ("JPMS")**, a member of [FINRA](#) and [SIPC](#). Annuities are made available through Chase Insurance Agency, Inc. (CIA), a licensed insurance agency, doing business as Chase Insurance Agency Services, Inc. in Florida. JPMCB, JPMS and CIA are affiliated companies under the common control of JPM. Products not available in all states.

In **Luxembourg**, this material is issued by **J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. (JPMBL)**, with registered office at European Bank and Business Centre, 6 route de Treves, L-2633, Senningerberg, Luxembourg. R.C.S Luxembourg B10.958. Authorized and regulated by Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (CSSF) and jointly supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB) and the CSSF. J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. is authorized as a credit institution in accordance with the Law of 5th April 1993. In the **United Kingdom**, this material is issued by **J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., London Branch**, registered office at 25 Bank Street, Canary Wharf, London E14 5JP. Authorized and regulated by Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (CSSF) and jointly supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB) and the CSSF. Deemed authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority. Subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. Details of the Temporary Permissions Regime, which allows EEA-based firms to operate in the UK for a limited period while seeking full authorisation, are available on the Financial Conduct Authority's website. In **Spain**, this material is distributed by **J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Sucursal en España**, with registered office at Paseo de la Castellana, 31, 28046 Madrid, Spain. J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Sucursal en



España is registered under number 1516 within the administrative registry of the Bank of Spain and supervised by the Spanish Securities Market Commission (CNMV). In **Germany**, this material is distributed by **J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Frankfurt Branch**, registered office at Taunustor 1 (TaunusTurm), 60310 Frankfurt, Germany, jointly supervised by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (CSSF) and the European Central Bank (ECB), and in certain areas also supervised by the Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (BaFin). In **Italy**, this material is distributed by **J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A.– Milan Branch**, registered office at Via Cordusio 3, 20123 Milano, Italy and regulated by Bank of Italy and the Commissione Nazionale per le Società e la Borsa (CONSOB). In the **Netherlands**, this material is distributed by **J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Amsterdam Branch**, with registered office at World Trade Centre, Tower B, Strawinskyalaan 1135, 1077 XX, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Amsterdam Branch is authorized and regulated by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (CSSF) and jointly supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB) and the CSSF in Luxembourg; J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Amsterdam Branch is also authorized and supervised by De Nederlandsche Bank (DNB) and the Autoriteit Financiële Markten (AFM) in the Netherlands. Registered with the Kamer van Koophandel as a branch of J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. under registration number 71651845. In **Denmark**, this material is distributed by **J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg, Copenhagen Br**, filial af J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. with registered office at Kalvebod Brygge 39-41, 1560 København V, Denmark. J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg, Copenhagen Br, filial af J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. is authorized and regulated by Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (CSSF) and jointly supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB) and the CSSF. J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg, Copenhagen Br, filial af J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. is also subject to the supervision of Finanstilsynet (Danish FSA) and registered with Finanstilsynet as a branch of J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. under code 29009. In **Sweden**, this material is distributed by **J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Stockholm Bankfilial**, with registered office at Hamngatan 15, Stockholm, 11147, Sweden. J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Stockholm Bankfilial is authorized and regulated by Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (CSSF) and jointly supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB) and the CSSF. J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., Stockholm Bankfilial is also subject to the supervision of Finansinspektionen (Swedish FSA). Registered with Finansinspektionen as a branch of J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. In **France**, this material is distributed by **JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (“JPMCB”), Paris branch**, which is regulated by the French banking authorities Autorité de Contrôle Prudentiel et de Résolution and Autorité des Marchés Financiers. In **Switzerland**, this material is distributed by **J.P. Morgan (Suisse) SA**, which is regulated in Switzerland by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA).

In **Hong Kong**, this material is distributed by **JPMCB, Hong Kong branch**. JPMCB, Hong Kong branch is regulated by the Hong Kong Monetary Authority and the Securities and Futures Commission of Hong Kong. In Hong Kong, we will cease to use your personal data for our marketing purposes without charge if you so request. In **Singapore**, this material is distributed by **JPMCB, Singapore branch**. JPMCB, Singapore branch is regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. Dealing and advisory services and discretionary investment management services are provided to you by JPMCB, Hong Kong/Singapore branch (as notified to you). Banking and custody services are provided to you by JPMCB Singapore Branch. The contents of this document have not been reviewed by any regulatory authority in Hong Kong, Singapore or any other jurisdictions. You are advised to exercise caution in relation to this document. If you are in any doubt about any of the contents of this document, you should obtain independent professional advice. For materials which constitute product advertisement under the Securities and Futures Act and the Financial Advisers Act, this advertisement has not been reviewed by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is a national banking association chartered under the laws of the United States, and as a body corporate, its shareholder’s liability is limited.

With respect to countries in **Latin America**, the distribution of this material may be restricted in certain jurisdictions. We may offer and/or sell to you securities or other financial instruments which may not be registered under, and are not the subject of a public offering under, the securities or other financial regulatory laws of your home country. Such securities or instruments are offered and/or sold to you on a private basis only. Any communication by us to you regarding such securities or instruments, including without limitation the delivery of a prospectus, term sheet or other offering document, is not intended by us as an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities or instruments in any jurisdiction in which such an offer or a solicitation is unlawful. Furthermore, such securities or instruments may be subject to certain regulatory and/or contractual restrictions on subsequent transfer by you, and you are solely responsible for ascertaining and complying with such restrictions. To the extent this content makes reference to a fund, the Fund may not be publicly offered in any Latin American country, without previous registration of such fund’s securities in compliance with the laws of the corresponding jurisdiction. Public offering of any security, including the shares of the Fund, without previous registration at Brazilian Securities and Exchange Commission— CVM is completely prohibited. Some products or services contained in the materials might not be currently provided by the Brazilian and Mexican platforms.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (JPMCBNA) (ABN 43 074 112 011/AFS Licence No: 238367) is regulated by the Australian Securities and Investment Commission and the Australian Prudential Regulation

Authority. Material provided by JPMCBNA in Australia is to “wholesale clients” only. For the purposes of this paragraph the term “wholesale client” has the meaning given in section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth). Please inform us if you are not a Wholesale Client now or if you cease to be a Wholesale Client at any time in the future.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (JPMCBNA) (ABN 43 074 112 011/AFS Licence No: 238367) is regulated by the Australian Securities and Investment Commission and the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority. Material provided by JPMCBNA in Australia is to “wholesale clients” only. For the purposes of this paragraph the term “wholesale client” has the meaning given in section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth). Please inform us if you are not a Wholesale Client now or if you cease to be a Wholesale Client at any time in the future.

JPMS is a registered foreign company (overseas) (ARBN 109293610) incorporated in Delaware, U.S.A. Under Australian financial services licensing requirements, carrying on a financial services business in Australia requires a financial service provider, such as J.P. Morgan Securities LLC (JPMS), to hold an Australian Financial Services Licence (AFSL), unless an exemption applies. **JPMS is exempt from the requirement to hold an AFSL under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) (Act) in respect of financial services it provides to you, and is regulated by the SEC, FINRA and CFTC under U.S. laws, which differ from Australian laws.** Material provided by JPMS in Australia is to “wholesale clients” only. The information provided in this material is not intended to be, and must not be, distributed or passed on, directly or indirectly, to any other class of persons in Australia. For the purposes of this paragraph the term “wholesale client” has the meaning given in section 761G of the Act. Please inform us immediately if you are not a Wholesale Client now or if you cease to be a Wholesale Client at any time in the future.

This material has not been prepared specifically for Australian investors. It:

- May contain references to dollar amounts which are not Australian dollars;
- May contain financial information which is not prepared in accordance with Australian law or practices;
- May not address risks associated with investment in foreign currency denominated investments; and
- Does not address Australian tax issues.